

Resnick Sustainability Institute Small-scale Proposal Evaluation Form				
a.	Proposal Title	<i>Enter Text Here</i>		
b.	Lead PI Name	<i>Enter Text Here</i>		
Fields	Evaluation criteria	Summary (less than 300 characters)	Score (3 = very strong, 2 = good, 1 = fair)	Criteria Prompts
1	Intellectual strength/mission			How strong is the proposal as a research project? Does it align with the RSI initiatives or the general RSI mission?
2	Sustainability challenge addressed			What sustainability challenges does the proposal address? How do the research outcomes connect to the sustainability challenges identified?
3	Potential for impact			Do the proposal's research outcomes provide a short or long term path to catalyze change, through commercial actions, policy recommendation/support, new educational opportunities, by opening new avenues for further research, or other pathway? On what timeline?
4	Degree to which other resources are leveraged			These may include matching contributions from discretionary funding, support from foundations, sponsored research support, etc. It may also include the leveraging of special resources such as equipment, partnering with other agencies (e.g., LADWP, S. Cal. Ed), etc.
5	Qualifications of the investigative team or shared resource plan for equipment			For research proposals, evaluate the extent the team is qualified or equipped for the work, even if the proposed work is a substantial departure into a new area for them. For equipment proposals, evaluate the merits of the plan to utilize the equipment effectively across campus (i.e., resource sharing), if applicable.
6	Broadening participation/Strategic Value			This evaluation criterion is "catch all" for other areas of prioritization, which may include diversity, connections with JPL and other strategic campus partnerships for Caltech, etc.
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>0</b>	
		<b>Score (7 = very enthusiastic, 1 = lackluster)</b>		
8	Overall enthusiasm for funding (1-7, 7 being the highest)			
9	This proposal should be reviewed by an additional independent reviewer (please provide an explanation below)			
10	Additional Comments	<i>Enter Text Here</i>		
11	Please mark ALL statements below that accurately summarize your feelings about this proposal:			
a	We should fund this proposal if possible			
b	This proposal needs a more detailed impact statement			
c	This proposal needs a stronger connection to the RSI mission			
d	This proposal is out of scope for RSI			