

Resnick Sustainability Institute Explorer Grant Proposal Evaluation Form				
a.	Proposal Title	Enter Text Here		
b.	Lead PI Name	Enter Text Here		
Fields	Evaluation criteria	Summary (less than 300 characters)	Score (3 = very strong, 2 = good, 1 = fair)	Criteria Prompts
1	Mission/sustainability challenge			How well aligned is the proposal with the overall mission of the RSI (or any specific initiatives)? How do the research outcomes connect with the sustainability challenges identified?
2	Intellectual strength			How strong is the proposal as a science or engineering research project? Does it appropriately identify the risks and feasibility of the work? If the proposal is to investigate scaling previous work or applied/translational feasibility, does it appropriately identify market/technoeconomic risks?
3	Potential for impact			Do the proposal's research outcomes provide a short or long term path to catalyze change, through commercial actions, policy recommendation/support, new educational opportunities, by opening new avenues for further research, or other pathway? On what timeline?
4	Leverage of internal/external resources or key personnel			Does the proposal make use of timely internal or external resources, key personnel or expertise? Does the proposed work seed new collaborations or enable critical infrastructure? If the proposal is for instrumentation, is there a plan for long-term management or broader campus access.
5	Broadening participation/strategic value			This evaluation criterion is a "catch all" of other areas of prioritization, which may include diversity, JPL connections, other strategic partnerships for Caltech, etc.
Total Score			0	
		Score (7 = very enthusiastic, 1 = lackluster)		
8	Overall enthusiasm for funding (1-7, 7 being the highest)			
9	This proposal should be reviewed by an additional independent reviewer (please provide an explanation below)			
10	Additional Comments	Enter Text Here		
11	Please mark ALL statements below that accurately summarize your feelings about this proposal:			
a	We should fund this proposal if possible			
b	This proposal needs a more detailed impact statement			
c	This proposal needs a stronger connection to the RSI mission			
d	This proposal is out of scope for RSI			